ments of the framework atoms and place changing of the Li atoms.

References

TSCHERRY, V. & LAVES, F. (1970). Naturwissenschaften, 57, 194.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 2177

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of 1-p-Tolyl-3-(α-cyano)benzylidenetriazene, C₁₅H₁₂N₄*

By J. W. Schilling[†] and C. E. Nordman

Department of Chemistry, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104, U.S.A.

(Received 12 August 1971 and in revised form 21 January 1972)

The compound 1-p-tolyl-3-(α -cyano)benzylidenetriazene, C₁₅H₁₂N₄, is a product of the thermal decomposition of 1-p-tolyl-4-phenyl-5-azidotriazole, crystallizing from petroleum ether in the monoclinic space group Pc with a = 4.036 (2), b = 11.109 (2), c = 14.805 (8) Å, $\beta = 93.57$ (6)°, and two molecules per cell. 1019 reflections were recorded by the integrating oscillation and integrating Weissenberg techniques. The structure was solved by the vector search method from a sharpened Patterson function assuming the existence of the *p*-toluidyl skeleton, C₇N. The final value of $R \equiv \sum |F_{obs} - F_{catc}| / \sum |F_{obs}|$ after refinement by full-matrix least-squares methods is 0.077. In previously determined aromatic triazene structures, $R_1 - N = N - N - (R_2, R_3)$, the triazene moiety usually displays equivalent N-N bond distances (of about 1.25 Å) when R₂ or R₃ is hydrogen or when the triazenido anion is acting as a bidentate ligand to a transition metal cation; in this structure neither R_2 nor R_3 is hydrogen and the N-N distances are nonequivalent (1.24 and 1.40 Å). This study is inconclusive regarding the hypothesis of Smith, Krbechek & Resemann [J. Amer. Chem. Soc. (1964). 86, 2025] (based on its chemical reactions) that C15H12N4 occurs in solution in an equilibrium between the title compound and a closed-ring nitrene.

Introduction

A product, C₁₄H₁₀N₄, of unknown structure, obtained from the thermal decomposition of 1,4-diphenyl-5azidotriazole, was thought to exist in solution as an equilibrium mixture of the open chain triazene (I) and a stable nitrene (II):

* This work was supported in part by grants HE08612 and GM15259 of the National Institutes of Health; and JWS received some financial support from the Union Carbide Corporation, IBM Corporation, and the 3M Company. A report of this work was presented before the American Crystallographic Association on August 25, 1967, at Minneapolis, Minnesota, U.S.A.

† Present address: Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, U.S.A.

(Smith, Krbechek & Resemann, 1964). The compound crystallizes very readily from a variety of nonpolar solvents in the orthorhombic space group Pbca with a = 27.6, b = 11.4, c = 7.82 Å; Z = 8.

A structure analysis was undertaken by the vectorsearch method (Nordman, 1966; Nordman & Schilling, 1970; Schilling, 1970a) upon an analogue, C₁₅H₁₂N₄, the product of 1-p-tolyl-4-phenyl-5-azidotriazole. This substance [compound (III), M.W. 248.31] displays the same chemical properties and would suffice to confirm the proposed structure, but since it crystallizes in space group Pc, only a one-dimensional determination of fragment location is required (i.e. with respect to the glide plane) rather than the three-dimensional location required in space group Pbca. The possibilities for the structure of (III) are analogous to (I) and (II) above, and will be designated CH_3 -(I) and CH_3 -(II).

A variety of possible structures were eliminated by Smith et al. on the basis of physical and chemical properties, but the positive evidence for the structure was inconclusive. C14H10N4 and C15H12N4 are dark red in color. The infrared spectrum normally exhibits no bands higher than 1600 cm^{-1} , but under certain conditions a weak band at 2200 cm⁻¹ occurs, suggesting the existence of a cyano group. The n.m.r. spectrum of $C_{14}H_{10}N_4$ shows six aromatic hydrogen atoms and two pairs of hydrogens at 1.75 and 2.1 τ ; the former are

> 50° Ph Þh (I) (II)

- TSCHERRY, V., SCHULZ, H. & LAVES, F. (1972a). Z. Kristallogr. 135, 161.
- TSCHERRY, V., SCHULZ, H. & LAVES, F. (1972b). Z Kristallogr. 135, 175.
- SCHULZ, H. & TSCHERRY, V. (1972). Acta Cryst, B28, 2168.



as far downfield as aromatic hydrogen atoms orthotogen atoms oscillation photographs. Since the to an electron-withdrawing group; they are not para hydrogen atoms, since the same pair occurs in $C_{15}H_{12}N_4$. Reduction by various means, with the uptake of one mole of hydrogen, gives the amine corresponding to the starting material, or with cold dithionite, its openchain isomer:



Smith *et al.* proposed an equilibrium between I and II, because some chemical reactions in which the N(1)-C(5) bond appears intact in the products would not be expected to arise from I and yet the infrared band at 2200 cm⁻¹ implies the existence of the nitrile. The analogous pyrazole system behaves similarly (Smith, Breen, Hajek & Awang, 1970) but reduction of the product analogous to (III) produces an open-chain intermediate product which progresses to the cyclic product. However, it is possible to produce an azo dimer of the closed-ring pyrazole analogue by heating the latter under very specific conditions, leading these authors to infer a (possibly small) concentration of the cyclic form.

Experimental

Crystals of $C_{15}H_{12}N_4$, slowly grown from petroleum ether, are dark red and tend to be either needle-like (with *a* along the needle) or chunky with few well defined faces; the latter are prone to display pronounced diffuse reflection. The space group was uniquely determined as *Pc* from the systematic absence of reflections *h0l* with *l* odd, and from a strikingly positive pyroelectricity test. The lattice parameters were determined by a least-squares fit to 19 observations of high-angle reflections utilizing the Cu $K(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)$ splitting observed in back-reflection oscillation photographs. Crystal data are as follows:

Space group Pc; a = 4.036 (2), b = 11.109 (2), c = 14.805 (8) Å, $\beta = 93.57$ (6)°; $\mu = 6.28$ cm⁻¹ (for Cu K α); V = 662.51 Å³; F(000) = 260.

The crystal density was observed by flotation in potassium tartrate solution to be 1.23; d(calc) = 1.245. From the molecular weight, cell dimensions and crystal density, Z was determined as 2.

Intensity data were collected at room temperature using nickel-filtered Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). A crystal was mounted about *a* for integrated equiinclination Weissenberg photographs (nets *nkl*, *n*=0, 1, 2, 3), and a second crystal about *b* for integrated

integrating motion of the film was inadequate in the axial direction when the needle axis a was along the spindle, only tangential integration was used in the Weissenberg photographs. The needle was of approximately constant radius $(0.25 \times 1.5 \text{ mm})$, and so the resulting peak heights were taken as proportional to the integrated intensities. For the data collected about b, the crystal size was $0.7 \times 0.5 \times 1.0$ mm; the inclination angle, μ , was 25°. In all cases five layers of Ilford G X-ray film were used. The intensities were recorded on a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer. The oscillation data were corrected for the variation in obliquity of the diffracted beams by the method of Cox & Shaw (1930). Raw intensities were placed on a common scale by an algorithm which determines the logarithmic average of the scales of the individual datasets (Nordman, 1960). No absorption correction was made. The Lorentz-polarization factor was applied, and the scale factor, \bar{K} (applied to F_{obs}) and overall anisotropic thermal parameters β_{ij} were determined by a modification of Wilson's method (Schilling, 1968). Table 1 compares values determined by Wilson statistics with a posteriori values of the Wilson parameters based on structure-factor least-squares calculations. The latter were determined after the final structure refinement by setting all individual atom thermal parameters to zero and refining overall anisotropic thermal parameters plus scale factor; and similarly for the overall isotropic temperature factor.

Table 1. Overall thermal parameters

	Wilson	methods	A posteriori structure-factor least-squares
	Isotropic*	Anisotropic	method
B (Ų)	5.23	_	4.98 (8)
311	0.081	0.096	0.093 (2)
322	0.0106	0.0099	0.0102 (2)
333	0.0060	0.0053	0.0044 (1)
813	0.0014	0.0054	0.0065 (5)
K	0.1621	0.1633	0.184 (3)

* Values of β_{ij} in this column are those equivalent to isotropic motion.

Structure determination

Patterson coefficients for reflections 'm' were computed as:

$$C_m = (Q_m F_{obs}^2 - \sum Z_k^2) \exp\left[-D(\sin\theta_m/\lambda)^2\right]$$

where

$$Q = K^2 \sum Z_k^2 / (\sum f_k^2) \exp\left(-\sum_{ij} h_i h_j \beta_{ij}\right).$$

The factor D is a damping parameter, chosen to be zero. The Patterson function was computed at the points of a $60 \times 60 \times 60$ grid; negative values of the Patterson function were set to zero. All searches used a four-point interpolated readout of the stored Patterson function.

Based on chemical evidence, the eight-atom *p*toluidyl fragment was assumed. Intrafragment vectors were generated with the fragment in a standard reference orientation, and all unique orientations were explored for matching high ground in the Patterson

Table 2. Structure factors

Columns are: Miller index, l; $10^2 F_{obs}$ and $10^2 |F_{calc}|$ (electrons); reflections considered to be unobserved have F_{ob} . designated '<'.

2 5187 1281	• 114 104 • 422 100	6 401 104	1 19 19	10 16 10	· · · · · · · · ·	7 14 15		4 294 344	-11 114 11		
	11 22 23	1 2241 2217	17 156 185			4 14 17.	16 112 104	4 11 1.1			
		4 216 275		-16 - 12 - 50	1 11 12	10 110 711	-1 11 14	1 4 12 144		1 14 140 141 1 1 141 141 141	
12 444 45	1 a a a a a					12 401 412	10 111 169	0 1 19 45	-7 615 644	12 125 124 11 159	**
14 241 101	17 4 10 10	I	11 11 11	-12 120 137		.4 570 555	4 24. 244	2 141 801		· · · · · · · · ·	
		1	12 106 145	-11 192 120		3 23 22	1 10 201	1 102 120	-4 563 515	1 10 10 1 10	***
1 2340 2731	1	242 24		-1 +12 120	0 422 574		-1 114 171	4 114 151	-1 411 191	4 41 41 15 184	104
2 444 453	1 147 1472 -1 1 147 241 -1	2 149 111	4 94 121	1 11 14	1 11 11	17 19 21	-1 142 141	3+11	0 111 112	4 10 15 - 14	
4 1817 1747	5 415 161 1	a a.	-7 477 441		1.22 05		2 88 19	4 14 14	1 221 224	7 220 212 0 . 40	
	4 212 244	2 241 245					0 160 150		3 426 447	10 10 10 1 10	-
\$ 1171 1204	10 107 110	1 2700 2015	-1 -1 -14	1 27 24 14			1 100 100	4 127 144	3 4 17 43		201
10 557 517	12 400 141	a ita ing	-2 1.02 1.14	0 548 549	5-12	10 94 101	1 441 944	0 140 10	7 51 19	1 14 11:12	
12 15 14		4 1 1 2 1 100	0 242 111	2 54 110		-9 -540 -544	\$ 240 178	3 \$50 184		-10 44 47 7 4 59	12
10 10 20		2 13/2 1998	1 149 1001	1 141 142	1 1 1	3 44 15	1 22 23	5 257 191	12 175 141	3 43 44 7 4	
2 22 22		1.1.1.1.1	1			1 1012 1013		3+12		4 20 20 10	
17 17 181	344		5 844 653	• 275 EV	2 990 444	104 451	11 12 .11	3 212 254		3 10 10 10 10	165
3-2	1 1 14 1 12 1 1	2	1 163 114		345 10			1 - 14 19		4 11 15 4 14	
1 11 11	2 251 222	2	150 101	12 229 101		0 1 0 442	4-1	3 304 2 8	4 14 15	0 412 414 13 11.	
2 403 404		. 1540 1540	10 114 213	11 104 414	1:12 1	1 1010 1000	14 4 23 14	4 140 111	3 10 10	1 12 12 1 1 1	42
1.109.12.1	1 in in 1	: ::: :::	12 1429 1114	15 162 217	1.11	1 2015 2011			** 205 144	1 10 100 1 10	
10 100 114	1 255 276 1	· 247 111	11 11 11	1.4			· · · · ·	-14 234 143	-1 20 12		
11 12 144	1 155 143	: in in	12 12 13	11 12 14	1.12. 1.1	1 2 22	-4 518 544	-12 225 214	* 245 225	1 1 101 111 1 110	14
15 200 211	1 40 10		3.5 3			1: :: :::	3 3 3	4 40 40	1 2 2		*
11 4 42 15	15 161 122 -1	• · · · · · · · ·	1-1	-10 .40 135	4 424 444	10 242 240	-0 102 201	-2 145 162	1 194 194	14	
14 144 125	15 < 25 21 -1		17 197 142		-4 2.16 2179	12 123 103	-1 10 10	1 194 101	1 14 14	-10 100 47 10 215	
		5 155 175		-1 14 14	-2 112 161	2 3 3	0 145 177	: :: ::			
0 1115 1497	1 25 65 5	1.0.50	-13 234 225	3 13 13	4 2851 2982	10 10 14	1 11 11	14 1 45 16		4 10 14 2 14	
3 124 1044	3 103 13.	1 363 367	11 100 1012			w	1 11 11	4-1	10 20 20	-4 .01 140 -4 320	
1 1073 1414	6 371 451 4	0 110 111	1 12 12	-1 124 121	4 217 255	- 16 161 144			12 141 201		
6 6.6 65	4 2** 254	1 1 1 1 1	-7 541 571	0 112 142	12 - 14 - 14	11 11 12.	1 12 13	31 M. J.	6.08.0	1 11 12 1 12	:::
6 (019 1279	10 12 10	1 10 100	3 8 8	4 49 70		1. 1. 1.1		-10 112 131	>-1	1 100 101 3 300	
1 641 517	11 4191 121 -	5 7.2 8.0	-1 2717 29(1)	5 129 44		-10 745 741	12 44 160	1 10 25		1	
11 579 575	10 10 10	1 140 176	4 575 714	\$ 255 120	-15 125 125		2.3.22	-* 1019 1033			
13 421 331	1. 11. 14	929 927	0 408 433	1 10 10	10 100 10	3 10 10		-1 114 545	-12 4 31 44	1	
15 166 164	2 703 854	1 2010 2110	1 111 119	1 344 620		3 3 3	1.4		3 10 10		**
12 147 144	3 20 22	2 1416 1961	1 23 23	11 4 42 37	-10 327 138	-4 114 144	-14 < 24 14	-2 247 212		- 1 10 10 - 10 - 10	
	1 10 10	1010 1253	5 1542 1992	3 23 44		-2 651 181	-4 10 10		4 07 10	-4 (4) 124 -7 118	
1 746 846	• 3/4 45	6 1430 1437	2 114 117	14 159 104	-3 1432 1433	0 210 45-	3 8 8	2 120 144		4 104 123 4 4 33	ü
2 1145 (100	A+11	7 526 522	1 22 23		3 54 55	1 510 104	. 10, 110	4 122 125	1 2 2 2 2		::
4 1245 1515	1 11 11	1 125 1254	10 100 110	31 44 12	-2 .00	3 1010 -024	1 10 11	1 11 11	1 10 10	그 몇 몇 글.명.	**
4 114 121	1	94.2 999	12 254 174	-11 224 198		\$ 145 144	4 210 250	7 200 142	5 641 64	1.7 11 1 11	365
8 1.04 1.14		1 427 178	3 3 5		2 2114 2415	1 15 14	44.54			1 (iii iii) 3 (iii	
10 411 544		4 344 342	15 150 111	3 43 43	1	1 10 100	1 101 540	10 142 111		1.01 1.0	
12 1254 1151		1.1.1.1.1.1			2	10 241 182	1 100 000				
14 112 244	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			2 2 4 202	1 145 146	11 + 11 + 15		4-4		-1 + B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B - B	
19 232 232	2 - 44 194 -1	7 111 124	117 141 212		110 135	10 200 201	1 24 25	-14 194 197	1.00 00 00		
12 161 150	1	1 210 24	14 14 13	1 444 144	30 641 634	3-3	1 244 244	12 14 45	-11 136 13		
	1:2 2 2	5 519 212	14 243 233	1 12 13	12 143 142	-0 42 40			2 20 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	239
0 211 244		215 270		1 45 10	14 245 275		12 110 111	-4 414 417	-7 210 210	* * ** 35 5 117	175
1 444 511		412 474	12 1414 1421	1 100 214	13 154 254	4 4 4 4 4	13 4 20 80	-4 242 244	-5 100 15	1 1	÷
1 11 11	• ··· ··· ·	e e17 568	2 200 200	1.11		2 8 8	12 11 14	1:44 4:		10 4 22 22 301	
4 191 104	1		-7 575 171	10 4 80 124	-15 4 46 15	-1 114 114	-10 107 102	-1 201 11	1 1 1 2	4 10 10 4 62	
	5 10 102	4 494 510	3 10 24	12 176 1.04	-11 114 413	1 24 249	-8 248 140			4 10 128 -5 100	175
1 2444 2116		3 444 725	-4 194 165	13 •• 14•	-10 475 491	-2 140 283	-7 162 81	1 207 198	2 407 54	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	#
12 112 114	1 1 11 11	1 114 115	-2 1318 1082			1.44 4	2 147 111	1 10 15	1 1 22 2	113 21 112	
19 141 46	1 145 12	1 444 410	5 252 510		-1 107 101	1 2 4 2	-3 141 110	1 10 10	1 1 10 1		e
10 4 70 103		4 1.28 12-4	2 141 130	-6 -69 313	-5 1241 1246	1.22 31	-1 367 314		i in ii		51
	341, 348	1 1963 1648	1 121 144	1 100 101	-4 171 541	1 1 1	0 525 440	7 291 294		10 41 11 11 14	
. 117 114		1 10 14	\$ \$50 \$1.4	-1 145 186	4 275 505	1.1	4 100 110		1 3 3 3	3 2 2 2 3	114
1 14 45			1 110 111	1 142 144		1	4 105 44	21 110 18		4 10 10 10 10	10
4 1714 1761	4 2259 2564	0 445 445	a 222 205	2 167 205	2 2925 2721	11 11 11	3 114 129	38 301 144			*1
2 111 17	-1 1993 1971 1	2 150 117	10 113 114	1 47 44	5 1816 1761	12.14 11	1 12 11		14 11 12		11
	1	· 173 -44	1 12 23	2 4 10 103				u 📅 🚥			

map. There was only one significant peak in the imageseeking function. Another orientation search using only a benzene skeleton as the assumed group showed no additional peaks; this indicates that both phenyl rings are in nearly the same orientation and was sufficient to exclude CH_3 -(II) as the structure and necessary, but not sufficient, to confirm CH_3 -(I).

The location of the assumed fragment is a onedimensional problem in space group Pc, since the origin is arbitrary in x and z. A translational search using vectors generated by the glide plane between properly oriented *p*-toluidyl groups indicated a value of y=0.35 for the fragment origin (arbitrarily chosen as the nitrogen atom), giving a partial structure upon which a sixteen-fold Patterson superposition was performed. This produced more strong peaks than the number of undetermined atoms, falling in a hexagonal array. It later became clear that the planarity of the molecule, its special orientation (nearly parallel to the glide plane) and the dominant 'hexagonality' of the structure caused this effect. Chemically reasonable peaks were chosen and four low-resolution difference Fourier syntheses readily produced a trial structure containing a correct set of all nineteen nonhydrogen atoms. The Fourier coefficients in these syntheses were weighted according to the scheme

$$W = 2(F_c/F_o)^2 - (F_c/F_o)^4 \text{ if } |F_c| < |F_o|$$

W=1.0 otherwise

which gives highest weight to reflections whose calculated magnitudes are higher than those observed.

Refinement

In all refinements, Hughes's (1941) weighting scheme was used:

 $Vw=4 F_{\min}/F_o \text{ if } F_o > 4 F_{\min};$ Vw=1 otherwise;where $F_{\min}=3.75 \text{ (raw scalc)}=0.605 \text{ (absolute)}$

Table 3. Final space and thermal parameters

	x	у	Z	β_{11}	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{23}	β_{13}
N(1)	0.100	0.3446 (6)	0.0000	0.094 (5)	0.0105 (6)	0.0054 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.0032 (7)	0.003 (2)
N(2)	0.087 (3)	0.2467 (6)	-0.0401 (6)	0.118 (6)	0.0079 (5)	0.0045 (3)	0.006 (2)	-0.0017(5)	0.011 (2)
N(3)	0.206(3)	0.2607 (10)	-0.1265(6)	0.095 (6)	0.0196 (11)	0.0048(3)	0.001 (4)	0.0048 (9)	0.007 (2)
N(4)	-0.138(3)	-0.0144(9)	-0.1131(7)	0.148 (8)	0.0170 (10)	0.0059 (4)	-0.023(4)	0.0013 (9)	0.008 (3)
C(1)	-0.015(3)	0.3422(6)	0.0882 (6)	0.094 (6)	0.0093 (7)	0.0050 (4)	0.000 (3)	0.0000 (7)	0.000 (2)
C(2)	-0.177(3)	0.2481 (8)	0.1296 (7)	0.093 (7)	0.0014 (8)	0.0048 (4)	-0.004(3)	- 0·0006 (8)	0.007 (2)
C(3)	-0.261(3)	0.2542 (10)	0.2179 (8)	0.095 (7)	0.0139 (9)	0.0055 (4)	0.003 (4)	-0·0010 (9)	0.009 (2)
C(4)	-0.190(3)	0.3552 (9)	0.2700 (7)	0.092 (7)	0.0177 (11)	0.0044 (4)	0.026 (4)	0.0015 (10)	0.014 (2)
C(5)	-0.280(4)	0.3608 (16)	0.3665 (9)	0.130 (10)	0.0276 (21)	0.0054 (5)	0.009 (8)	-0.0024 (15)	0.018 (3)
C(6)	-0.052(4)	0.4563 (13)	0.2289 (9)	0.108 (9)	0.0186 (15)	0.0064 (5)	-0.001(6)	-0·0026 (15)	0.004 (3)
C(7)	0.040 (3)	0.4453 (9)	0.1401 (8)	0.092 (7)	0.0150 (11)	0.0069 (5)	0.005 (4)	0.0028 (11)	0.005 (3)
C(8)	0.002 (3)	0.0652 (8)	-0.1353(7)	0.096 (6)	0.0126 (8)	0.0043 (3)	-0.004(3)	-0·0020 (8)	0.006 (2)
C(9)	0.164 (2)	0.1600 (8)	-0.1724(5)	0.089 (6)	0.0124 (9)	0.0042 (3)	-0.010(3)	-0·0011 (8)	0.006 (2)
C(10)) 0.276 (3)	0.1521 (6)	-0.2648(6)	0.065 (5)	0.0102 (7)	0.0044 (3)	0.009 (2)	0.0026 (7)	0.003 (2)
C(11)) 0.427 (3)	0.2535 (8)	-0.3005(8)	0.085 (6)	0.0097 (7)	0.0059 (4)	0.013 (3)	-0·0014 (8)	0.005 (2)
C(12)) 0.522(3)	0.2444 (9)	-0.3912(8)	0.100 (8)	0.0129 (10)	0.0066 (5)	0.009 (4)	0.0043 (11)	0.013 (3)
C(13)) 0.471 (3)	0.1397 (7)	-0.4390 (7)	0.102 (7)	0.0101 (8)	0.0054 (4)	0.003 (3)	-0·0007 (8)	0.004 (2)
C(14)) 0.316(3)	0.0467 (7)	-0.4018 (6)	0.104 (7)	0.0103 (7)	0.0043(3)	0.016 (3)	-0.0030(7)	0.004 (2)
C(15)) 0.218 (2)	0.0490 (5)	-0·3133 (6)	0.104 (6)	0.0045 (4)	0.0041 (3)	-0.004 (2)	-0·0045 (5)	0.008 (2)

Table 3 (cont.)

	Ηy	dro	gen	ato	ms
--	----	-----	-----	-----	----

	x	У	Z	$B(Å^2)$
H(1)	-0·248 (27)	0.163 (10)	0.071 (8)	7.0 (2.6)
H(2)	-0.429(20)	0.207 (6)	0.252 (5)	4.2 (1.4)
H(3)	-0.175(34)	0.416 (12)	0.390 (10)	3.7 (2.9)
H(4)	-0.522(21)	0.321 (6)	0.372 (5)	4.8 (1.3)
H(5)	-0.406(23)	0.430(8)	0.387 (6)	5.6 (1.7)
H(6)	-0.031(24)	0·519 (8)i	0.251(7)	5.6 (2.0)
H(7)	0.148 (36)	0.572 (12)	0.116 (10)	8.8 (3.9)
H(8)	0.434 (16)	0.328 (6)	-0.266(4)	2.4(1.1)
H(9)	0.596 (23)	0.331 (8)	-0.412(6)	6.2 (1.8)
H(10)	0.562(20)	0.124(6)	-0.517(5)	3.2 (1.4)
H(11)	0.252(18)	-0.050(7)	-0.434(5)	4.9 (1.6)
H(12)	0.117 (12)	-0·011 (5)	– 0·284 (3)́	1.0 (8)

with the 146 unobserved reflections given zero weight. The quantity minimized was $\sum w_i |F_o - F_c|^2$. Form factors for C and N were those of Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry & Veenendaal (1955); those for H were those of Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). In the later stages of refinement, the Fortran II *ORFLS* program (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962) was used; a special algorithm for computing the least-squares matrix was incorporated to permit full-matrix refinement of up to 230 parameters on the IBM 7090 computer (Schilling, 1970b).

The origin was fixed by holding x and z for the nitrogen atom of the p-toluidyl group constant. Refinement by diagonal least-squares methods brought $R \equiv \sum |F_o - F_c| / \sum |F_o|$ to 0.125. The twelve hydrogen atoms were then found by a difference Fourier synthesis. R was reduced to 0.077 by further least-squares refinement with all 55 heavy-atom space parameters and scale factor in one block, the 114 heavy-atom anisotropic thermal parameters and scale factor in a second, and scale factor plus hydrogen space and isotropic thermal parameters in a third, refined on alternate cycles. The penultimate cycle refined all heavy atom parameters and scale factor in a single block, *i.e.* holding the hydrogen atom parameters constant.

A final full-matrix cycle refining all 218 parameters (the above atomic parameters plus scale factor) made no change in R for the 1019 observed reflections, but increased the error estimates on heavy-atom space parameters by as much as 25%. The largest shifts on this cycle were 1σ for the y coordinate of C(5) and 2σ for the temperature factor of H(3). A plot of $K^2F_o^2G$ versus ln $|F_c/KF_o|$ where $G = (1 + \cos^2 2\theta)/\sin 2\theta$ Nordman, 1962) for the strongest reflections showed no



Fig. 1. Fourier syntheses. Carbon and nitrogen atoms from final Fourier synthesis, contours at 1 e.Å⁻³, zero contour omitted; hydrogen atoms from final ΔF synthesis, contour interval $\frac{1}{8}$ e.Å⁻³, zero contour omitted.

evidence of secondary extinction in the data. The final difference Fourier synthesis showed four peaks (in chemically implausible locations) of slightly less than the height of the lowest hydrogen. The least prominent hydrogen atoms (Fig. 1) are probably poorly determined. Further full-matrix refinement with a fixed, reasonable value of B for the hydrogen atoms would probably improve the determination of these atoms, but this was not felt to be sufficient reason to justify the cost. The twelve aromatic C-C distances in this molecule have an average value of 1.385 Å. with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.020 Å from that value.

Table 2 gives observed and calculated structure factors. Maximum values are given as FO for unobserved reflections. Fig. 1 shows the final Fourier syntheses of the C, N and H atoms.

Structure

Table 3 gives the final space and thermal parameters for atoms in one molecule. The thermal parameters



Fig. 2. Geometry of the 1-*p*-tolyl-3-(α -cyano)benzylidene-triazene molecule. (*a*) Bond distances (Å); (*b*) Bond angles (°); (*c*) Non-bonded intramolecular contacts (Å).

correspond to the expression:

. . .

. . . .

$$\exp\left[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+hk\beta_{12}+kl\beta_{23}+hl\beta_{13})\right].$$

The e.s.d. (based upon the inverse least-squares matrix) of each parameter is given in parentheses, applying to the last significant figure. Atom naming is as in Fig. 1. Since the space group symmetry permits the origin to be arbitrarily specified in x and z, only the y parameter of N(1) was varied. Fig. 2 gives bond distances and angles with e.s.d.'s.

In aromatic triazene ('diazoamine') crystal structures of the form

$$R-C_6H_4-NH-N=N-C_6H_4-R$$

the two N-N distances are generally found to be equivalent within experimental error. The same is true if only one of the phenyls is substituted, eliminating the possibility that disorder of the symmetrically substituted triazene causes a pseudoequivalence of the bonds. A difference Fourier on the structure of the unsymmetrical p-methyl and on the symmetrical pdimethyl derivative showed two half-hydrogen peaks on the intermolecular N-N vector (Kondrashev, 1969), indicating an equilibrium between tautomeric forms. Kondrashev and co-workers succeeded in biasing this tautomerism by multiple substitution of one of the phenyls. The resulting bond parameters of the triazene moiety in various derivatives are given in Table 4 [names as given in the translated papers: compound (IV), *p*-dibromodiazoaminobenzene, Kondrashev, 1962; (V), p-bromodiazoaniline, Omel'chenko & Kondrashev, 1967; (VI), 2,4-dibromodiazoaminobenzene, Omel'chenko & Kondrashev, 1966]. A similar structure is the copper (I) complex of diazoaminobenzene [(VII) in Table 4; Brown & Dunitz, 1961] in which the N-N distances are also found to be equivalent. The present structure (III) resembles (VI) in the triazene bond parameters.

There is a tendency in all of these structures for angles analogous to N(1)-C(1)-C(2) to be distorted by as much as 5° from the expected 120° and in (III) the deviation (ring B) is 7.6°; this is probably due to the unsymmetrical intramolecular contact N(2)-C(2)

				R1-	$ \begin{array}{c} N(1) - N(2) - N \\ I \\ R_2 \\ \end{array} $	I(3)-R ₄			
Compound (III)	R ₁ CH ₃ C ₆ H ₄₋	R2 —	R3 —	R4 C6H5	R₁−N(1) 1·414 (20)Å	N(1)-N(2) 1·239 (21)Å	N(2)–N(3) 1·403 (15)Å	N(3)–R4 1·315 (28)Å	Angle N(1)-N(2)-N(3) 109·5 (1·6)°
				C= CN	=				
(IV)	BrC_6H_4 -	<u>∔</u> H גµ	$\frac{1}{2}H$	BrC_6H_4 -	1·46 1·45	1.25	1.23	1·44 1·47	113
(VI) (VII)	$C_6H_5-C_6H_5-$	$\frac{2}{2}Cu^{+}$	$\frac{2}{H}$ $\frac{1}{2}Cu^+$	$Br_2C_6H_3 - C_6H_5 - C_6H_5$	1·42 1·39 (3)	1·25 1·27 (3)	1·45 1·32 (3)	1·43 1·48 (3)	107·7 115·8 (2·0)

Table 4. Bond parameters of the triazene moiety for $C_{15}H_{12}N_4$ and related structures

(Fig. 2c); the corresponding angle for ring A is nearly 120° because the intramolecular contacts are balanced. There are no intermolecular contacts to rings A or Bcloser than 3.8 Å. The three angles in the triazene moiety are not significantly different from those in (VII); the angle N(2)-N(1)-C(1) is similar to the N-N-C angle, 113.6°, in trans-azobenzene (Brown, 1966). The distance N(1)-C(1), 1.41, is shorter than in trans-azobenzene [1.433 (3) Å], but this difference (2σ) is only marginally significant. Nevertheless, a shortening would be reasonable in view of the increased opportunity for delocalization of electrons. It is tempting to put compound (III) at the end of a sequence of analogues in which trans-azobenzene would be intermediate, and cis-azobenzene (Hampson & Robertson, 1941) would be at the opposite extreme: in the latter, steric crowding drastically inhibits delocalization (N-C distance, 1.46 Å). The bond distance N(2)-N(3), 1.403 Å is shorter than in (VI), in which it is 1.45 Å, because in (III) it is adjacent to the C(9)=N(3) bond. The distance N(1)=N(2) is quite comparable to that (1.243 Å) found in *trans*-azobenzene.

A least-squares plane was computed using the algorithm of Schomaker, Waser, Marsh & Bergman (1959) with iterative weighting based upon the standard deviations of the atomic coordinates and their projections upon a unit vector normal to the plane on each cycle. The molecule as a whole is very nearly planar, the largest deviation [C(5)] being 0.2 Å and three more being greater than 0.09 Å. There are no intermolecular contacts less than 3.9 Å to C(5). Rings A and B are rotated 8.2 and 8.8° respectively, with respect to the plane defined by the three triazene nitrogen atoms.

The distance C(8)–N(4) is shorter by 3σ than the 1.14 Å usually observed for nitrile bonds, but a thermal motion correction would increase it somewhat. The nitrile angle C(9)–C(8)–N(4) deviates by 6.5° (8 σ) from 180°, probably because of an intermolecular contact of 3.4 Å between N(4) and C(13) in a glide-related molecule. There are also two close intramolecular contacts involving N(2); 2.472 Å to C(8) and 3.209 Å to N(4) (Fig. 2). The deviation of N(4) from a least-squares plane through the eight atoms C(8), C(9) and ring A is 0.113 Å.

The proposed equilibrium between $CH_3-(I)$ and $CH_3-(II)$ would have led one to expect $CH_3-(I)$ to be found in the *cis* conformation, possibly co-crystallized with $CH_3-(II)$. However, model-building indicates that the *cis* isomer may be less stable because of a close

contact between C(8) and N(1) (<2.8 Å if the molecule is planar) which would preclude planarity and inhibit delocalization. In solution, the *trans* form probably predominates. This study provides no support for the existence of a stable nitrene, but does not rule out the possibility that a small amount of this form is present in solution.

The authors wish to thank Dr P.A.S. Smith for supplying purified samples of $C_{15}H_{12}N_4$ and $C_{14}H_{10}N_4$, which were used for this study.

References

- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, I. M., POTTERS, M., LOOPSTRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BROWN, I. D. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 146.
- BROWN, I. D. & DUNITZ, J. D. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 480.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Cox, E. G. & Shaw, W. F. B. (1930). Proc. Roy. Soc. A127, 71.
- HAMPSON, G. C. & ROBERTSON, J. M. (1941). J. Chem. Soc. p. 409.
- HUGHES, E. W. (1941). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 63, 1737.
- KONDRASHEV, Y. D. (1962). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 6, 413.
- KONDRASHEV, Y. D. (1969). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 13, 530.
- NORDMAN, C. E. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 535.
- NORDMAN, C. E. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 18.
- NORDMAN, C. E. (1966). Trans. Amer. Cryst. Assoc. 2, 29.
- NORDMAN, C. E. & SCHILLING, J. W. (1970). *Crystallographic Computing*, pp. 110–114. Edited by F. R. AHMED. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- OMEL'CHENKO, Y. A. & KONDRASHEV, Y. D. (1966). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 10, 690.
- OMEL'CHENKO, Y. A. & KONDRASHEV, Y. D. (1967). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 12, 359.
- SCHILLING, J. W. (1968). Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Microfilms, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- SCHILLING, J. W. (1970a). Crystallographic Computing, pp. 115–123. Edited by F. R. AHMED. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- SCHILLING, J. W. (1970b). Crystallographic Computing, pp. 201–204. Edited by F. R. AHMED. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- SCHOMAKER, V., WASER, J., MARSH, R. E. & BERGMAN, G. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 600.
- SMITH, P. A. S., KRBECHEK, L. O. & RESEMANN, W. (1964). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 2025.
- SMITH, P. A. S., BREEN, G. J. W., HAJEK, M. K. & AWANG, D. V. C. (1970). J. Org. Chem. 35, 2215.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.

2182